# STAT 315: Markov, Chebyshev, Hoeffding and Confidence Intervals

Luc Rey-Bellet

University of Massachusetts Amherst

luc@math.umass.edu

April 15, 2025

### Markov and Chebyshev Inequalities

Idea: How to extract information from the mean and the variance.

Two inequalities

• Markov: If Y is a non-negative RV with  $\mu = E[Y]$  then for any a > 0

$$P(Y \ge a) \le \frac{E[Y]}{a} = \frac{\mu}{a}$$
.

• Chebyshev: If Y is a RV with  $\mu = E[Y] = \sigma^2 = V(Y)$  then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$P(|Y - \mu| \ge \epsilon) \le \frac{V[Y]}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2}$$
  
or  $P(|Y - \mu| \ge k\sigma) \le \frac{1}{k^2}$ 

### Proof

Let us do the proof for continuous random variable. For Markov if  $Y \ge 0$  then

$$E[Y] = \int_0^\infty yf(y)dy \ge \int_a^\infty yf(y)dy$$
$$\ge \int_a^\infty af(y)dy = a \int_a^\infty f(y)dy = aP(Y \ge a)$$

Chebyshev is a consequence of Markov for the positive  $(Y - \mu)^2$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P}(|Y - \mu| \geq \epsilon) &= \mathcal{P}((Y - \mu)^2 \geq \epsilon^2) \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{E}[(Y - \mu)^2]}{\epsilon^2} \quad \text{by Markov inequality} \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{V}(Y)}{\epsilon^2} \quad \text{by definition of the variance} \end{split}$$

If we take  $\epsilon = k\sigma$  to be a multiple of the standard deviation we obtain the unit-free version  $P(|Y - \mu| \ge k\sigma) \le \frac{1}{k^2}$ 

## Example

- Suppose Y is a Poisson random variable with parameter  $\lambda = 2$ .
- Recall  $E[Y] = \lambda$  and  $V[Y] = \lambda$ .
- Estimate the probability that Y exceed 4 times its mean, that is

$$P(Y \ge 4E[Y]) = P(Y \ge 4\lambda)$$

• Using Markov inequality we have

$$P(Y \ge 4\lambda) \le \frac{E[Y]}{4\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{4\lambda} = \frac{1}{4}$$

• Using Chebyshev inequality we have

$$P(Y \ge 4\lambda) = P(Y - \lambda \ge 3\lambda) \le P(|Y - \lambda| \ge 3\lambda)$$
$$\le \frac{V[Y]}{9\lambda^2} = \frac{2}{36} = \frac{1}{18}$$

## Example

- Chebyshev can be very pessimistic... (but use very little information!)
- We know that if Z is standard normal then

 $P(|Z| \ge 1.96) \approx 0.05$   $P(|Z| \ge 2.58) \approx 0.01$ 

or by scaling for  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ 

$$P(|X - \mu| \ge 1.96\sigma) \approx 0.05$$
  $P(|X - \mu| \ge 2.58\sigma) \approx 0.01$ 

• By Chebyshev though

$$P(|X - \mu| \ge 1.96\sigma) = P(|Z| \ge 1.96) \le rac{1}{1.96^2} = 0.26...$$
  
 $P(|X - \mu| \ge 2.58\sigma) = P(|Z| \ge 2.58) \le rac{1}{2.58^2} = 0.15..$ 

# Chebyshev and Hoeffding's for binomial RV

We Y a binomial RV with parameters n (number of trials) and p (probability of success). Since E[Y] = np (order n) we are interested in deviations of order  $n\epsilon$ .

#### Concentration Inequalities for binomial RV

Suppose Y is a binomial RV with parameters n (number of trials) and p (probability of success). Chebyshev:

$$P(|Y - np| \ge n\epsilon) \le \frac{p(1-p)}{n\epsilon^2} \le \frac{1}{4n\epsilon^2}$$

Hoeffding:

$$P(|Y - np| \ge n\epsilon) \le 2e^{-2n\epsilon^2}$$

The proof of Hoeffding's inequality requires more advanced tools. It is much better than Chebyshev (especially when *n* is large..). Note that p(1-p) is less than  $\frac{1}{4}$  (since the maximum is at  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ ). Useful when  $\sigma$  is not known.

## Example

- You math exam consists of 25 multiple choice exams with 5 possible answers.
- You despise your professor and his stupid french accent and pick the answers at random.
- $\bullet$  What are the intervals  $[\mu-2\sigma,\mu+2\sigma]$  and  $[\mu-3\sigma,\mu+3\sigma]$
- The exam is curved and you will pass if you score exceed 50%. Estimate the probability you pass the exam.

# Application: randomized algorithm

This is a common set-up in machine learning and artificial intelligence: We build an algorithm to perform a certain task. For example image recognition.



Figure: Image recognition: see this blog post for details and code

To test the performance of the algorithm we use a training set of size n and use human intelligence (we label the picture with the true result!)

We measure the quality of the algorithm by computing

$$\widehat{p}_n = rac{\# ext{ of correctly identified picture}}{n} = .978$$

#### How much should we trust this result?

We build a probabilistic model and consider a binomial  $Y \sim B_{n,p}$  with p unknown. Then

 $\hat{p}_n = \frac{Y}{n}$  = approximate probability from the validation set p = true probability of an image being identified

The quantity  $\hat{p}_n$  is an approximation from the data to the true value p.

## Chebyshev

$$P(|Y - np| \le n\epsilon) = P(-n\epsilon \le Y - np \le n\epsilon) = P\left(\frac{Y}{n} - \epsilon \le p \le \frac{Y}{n} + \epsilon\right)$$

So by Chebyshev

$$P\left(\widehat{p}_n - \epsilon \le p \le \widehat{p}_n + \epsilon\right) \ge 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}$$

#### Set

- $\delta = \text{confidence level}$ . ( $\delta = 0.01$  means 99% confidence level)
- $\epsilon = \text{precision}$ .

• n = sample size, (e.g. n = 20,000 (size of the validation set)

 $\frac{1}{4n\epsilon^2} = \delta = 0.01 \iff \epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4n\delta}} = \sqrt{\frac{100}{4 \times 20,000}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{800}} = 0.03535...$ 

So Chebyshev says that with 99% confidence

 $p \in [.978 - 0.03535, .978 + 0.3535]$ 

## Hoeffding

By Hoeffding we find that

$$P\left(\frac{Y}{n} - \epsilon \le p \le \frac{Y}{n} + \epsilon\right) \ge 2e^{-2n\epsilon^2} = \delta$$

so if we set

$$2e^{-2n\epsilon^2} = \delta \iff \epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\ln(2/\delta)}{2n}} = \sqrt{\frac{\ln(200)}{40,000}} = 0.011$$

Better than Chebyshev...